



BUSHFIRE IN TASMANIA

A new approach to reducing our Statewide relative risk.

July 2014



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The contribution of the following individuals in preparing this document is gratefully acknowledged:

\*Rochelle Richards, Samuel Ferguson, Kylie Cornish, Sandra Whight, Grant Williamson

The authors are grateful for the knowledge and valuable guidance provided by Andy Ackland, Andrew Blackett, Gordon Friend, Jaymie Norris, Frazer Wilson and Jill Gallucci from the Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria; Information Systems Branch, Tasmania Fire Service; Luke Wallace; Fire Management Section, Parks and Wildlife Service; Professor David Bowman from the School of Plant Science, University of Tasmania; Dr Stephen Bresnehan from the Open Space Group, Hobart City Council; Box Knox and Peter Rowlands from Northern Region, Forestry Tasmania.

Advice and/or support were readily provided by individuals, organisations and staff from Community Fire Safety, Tasmania Fire Service; Canadian Forest Service; Land Conservation Branch, DPIPWE; Derek Ming Onn Chong, University of Melbourne; Emergency Service GIS, DPIPWE; State Operations, Tasmania Fire Service; Local Government Association of Tasmania.

Maps contained in this report may include data provided by DPIPWE (Information and Land Services Division (ILS), and Parks and Wildlife Service Fire Management Section), and State Fire Management Council. These map products have been produced by the State Fire Management Council. While all efforts have been taken to ensure the accuracy of these products, there may be errors and/or omissions in the data presented. Users of these products are advised to independently verify data for accuracy and completeness prior to use.

Cite as: State Fire Management Council 2014. Bushfire in Tasmania: A new approach to reducing our statewide relative risk. State Fire Management Council Unit, Tasmania Fire Service, Hobart, Tasmania.

ISBN: 978-0-9925970-1-6

©State Fire Management Council 2014

Cover Photo. Burning vegetation at Taranna, 2013. Taken by Matt Drysdale, provided by the Tasmania Fire Service.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **CONTENTS**

Table of Contents	3
IST OF FIGURES, TABLES AND EQUATIONS	4
Executive Summary	10
Purpose and Scope	13
REPORT CONTEXT	14
Background	16
Land Tenure	16
Fire in the environment	16
Fire History in Tasmania	16
Planned Burning	17
Fire Management in Tasmania	21
State Fire Management Council	22
BUSHFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	25
NFLUENCE OF WEATHER ON A FUEL REDUCTION BURNING PROGRAM	44
SUMMARY OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS	46
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	50
STATEWIDE EFFECTS OF FUEL REDUCTION BURNING	61
MPLEMENTATION OF A STRATEGIC BURNING PROGRAM	86
The Process	86
The Effects of Season and Weather on Burning Opportunities	86
Implementation Issues	89
Legislative and Policy Considerations	90
Implementation Costs	91
Conclusion and Recommendations	93
GLOSSARY OF TERMINOLOGY	95
References	.101
APPENDIX 1: STATE VEGETATION FIRE MANAGEMENT POLICY 2012	.104
Appendix 2: TasVeg 3.0 Vegetation Types Classified as Treatable and Untreatable for Reduction Burning	
Appendix 3: Human Settlement Areas (Version 1.2)	
Appendix 4: PHOENIX Rapidfire Weather Profiles	
Appendix 5: Hypothetical Five Year Burning Programs	
APPENDIX 6: THE PHOENIX RAPIDFIRE SYSTEM. TERMS OF USE. LIBRARIES AND SOURCES	

# LIST OF FIGURES, TABLES AND EQUATIONS

Figure 1: Broad categories of land tenure	18
Figure 2: Time since last fire in years, up until June 2013	19
Figure 3: Treatable fuels across Tasmania	
Figure 4: Fire Management Area Boundaries	
Figure 5: A greyscale orthophoto of the Dolphin Sands area in Tasmania, showing Asset Zones (Human Settlement Areas - green Asset Protection Zones (APZ - red) and Strategic Fuel Management Zones (SFMZ - blue)	
Figure 6: A greyscale orthophoto of the Dolphin Sands area in Tasmania, showing Asset Zones (Human Settlement Areas - green	
Asset Protection Zones (APZ - red) and Strategic Fuel Management Zones (SFMZ - blue). Untreatable vegetation types have been ex	cluded
from the Fire Management Zones	
Figure 7: Total Tasmanian land area available for treatment under the Public and Private Land (red, left), Public Land Only (purple, r	
and Fire Management Zone (pink, right) scenarios	
Figure 8: Ignition point and name of corresponding weather station. Weather station areas adapted from Department of P	
Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment (2014)	
Figure 9: PHOENIX RapidFire project settings used for the Strategic Fuel Management Report	
Figure 10: PHOENIX RapidFire settings for the Strategic Fuel Management Report showing a sample of ignition points, their start a times and their weather profiles.	
Figure 11: PHOENIX RapidFire settings for the Strategic Fuel Management Report, showing the weather profile for ignition poin	
based on data from the Dover weather station (no. 94020).	
Figure 12: PHOENIX RapidFire settings for the Strategic Fuel Management Report showing the data files that were used for all simu	
and the fire history file that was used specifically for the minimum treatable fuel load scenario.	
Figure 13: PHOENIX RapidFire simulations running multiple individual fires across the landscape.	
Figure 14: Phoenix static output grid (purple), overlayed with the Human Settlement Area polygon dataset (light blue). The hatched	
are the grid cells that were recorded as Human Settlement Areas in the PostgreSQL database	
Figure 15: Maximum FFDI in each weather station area based on the 99.0 to 99.5 percentile 10-hour weather profile constructed	
PHOENIX RapidFire modelling.	
Figure 16. Elevation map, showing weather station locations.	
Figure 17: Location of high intensity fire impacts over 3,000kW/m under current fuel conditions, modelled in Phoenix	50
Figure 18: A bushfire burning north of Hobart's Eastern Shore Suburbs, 12 October 2006. Photographer: lan Stewart	
Figure 19: Modelled Human Settlement Area impacts under current, maximum and minimum treatable fuel loads	52
Figure 20: Total number of modelled Human Settlement Area impacts in each Fire Management Area, categorised as treatab	
untreatable with fuel reduction burning. *No Human Settlement Area impacts were measured on King Island	
Figure 21: Ignition points that led to impacts on Human Settlement Areas under current fuel conditions	
Figure 22: Distribution of treatable vegetation across the state, as a proportion of the total Tasmanian land area	
Figure 23: Treatable vegetation as a proportion of the total land area of each Fire Management Area.	
Figure 24: Distribution of treatment area by land tenure under the Public Land Only scenarios. Clockwise from top left, burning	
treatable vegetation per year using the State Selection method, 5% using FMA selection, 2.5% using FMA selection , 2.5% using	-
selection Figure 25: Distribution of treatment area by land tenure under the Public and Private Land scenarios	
Figure 26: Distribution of treatment area by land tenure under the Fublic and Frivate Land scenarios.	
Figure 27: Relative risk profile for Tasmania based on weather scenarios representing 99.0 to 99.5 percentile summertime w	
conditions. Fire history (bushfires and planned burning) is included for 2003-2013, along with the five year burning scenarios that	
based on treating highest bushfire risk at the Statewide level	
Figure 28: Relative risk profile for Tasmania based on weather scenarios representing 99.0 to 99.5 percentile summertime w	
conditions. Fire history (bushfires and planned burning) is included for 2003-2013, along with the five year burning scenarios tha	
based on treating highest bushfire risk within each Fire Management Area.	62
Figure 29: Location of ignition points that impacted on Human Settlement Areas, showing the difference in impact count betwee	n 2013
and Year 5 of the 2.5% Public and Private Land scenario using the State Selection methodmuth	63
Figure 30: A Statewide comparison of high intensity fire effects per ignition after Year 5 for each fuel reduction burning scenario, mo	odelled
in PHOENIX RapidFire	
Figure 31: Area (ha) within 10km of Human Settlement Areas that exceeded 3,000kW/m of maximum BRAM head fire intensity in Y	
3 and 5 of fuel treatment	
Figure 32: Comparison of area burnt per ignition after Year 5 for each fuel reduction burning scenario, modelled in PHOENIX RapidFi	
Figure 33: Relative risk profile for Southern Fire Management Area using the State Selection method to reduce bushfire risk	
Figure 34: Relative risk profile for Southern Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to reduce b	
risk.	
Figure 35: Relative risk profile for Hobart Fire Management Area using the State Selection method to reduce bushfire risk	
Figure 36: Relative risk profile for Hobart Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to reduce b risk	
Figure 37: Relative risk profile for Tamar Fire Management Area using the State Selection method to reduce bushfire risk	
Figure 38: Relative risk profile for Tamar Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to reduce bushfi	
inguie 36. Nelative fisk profile for ramar the Management Area using the the Management Area selection method to reduce bushin	
Figure 39: Relative risk profile for Central North Fire Management Area using the state management area selection method to	
bushfire risk	
Figure 40: Relative risk profile for Central North Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to	

List of Figures, Tables and Equations

Figure 41: Relative risk profile for East Coast Fire Management Area using the State Selection method to reduce bushfire risk75
Figure 42: Relative risk profile for East Coast Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to reduce bushfire
risk
Figure 43: Relative risk profile for North East Fire Management Area using the State Selection method to reduce bushfire risk
Figure 44: Relative risk profile for North East Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to reduce bushfire
risk77
Figure 45: Relative risk profile for Midlands Fire Management Area using the State Selection method to reduce bushfire risk
Figure 46: Relative risk profile for Midlands Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to reduce bushfire
risk79
Figure 47: Relative risk profile for West Coast Fire Management Area using the State Selection method to reduce bushfire risk80
Figure 48: Relative risk profile for West Coast Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to reduce
bushfire risk
Figure 49. Area (ha) that exceeded 3,000kW/m of maximum Bushfire Risk Assessment Model head fire intensity in Years 1, 3 and 5 of fue
treatment in the King Island Fire Management Area81
Figure 50: Relative risk profile for Flinders Fire Management Area using the State Selection method to reduce bushfire risk82
Figure 51: Relative risk profile for Flinders Fire Management Area using the Fire Management Area selection method to reduce bushfire
risk
Figure 52: Area (ha) that exceeded 3,000kW/m of maximum Bushfire Risk Assessment Model head fire intensity in Years 1, 3 and 5 of fue
treatment in the Flinders Fire Management Area83
Figure 53: Area (ha) within 10km of Human Settlement Areas that exceeded 3,000kW/m of maximum Bushfire Risk Assessment Mode
head fire intensity in Years 1, 3 and 5 of fuel treatment in the King Island Fire Management Area
Figure 54: Area (ha) that exceeded 3,000kW/m of maximum Bushfire Risk Assessment Model head fire intensity in Years 1, 3 and 5 of fue
treatment in the King Island Fire Management Area.
Figure 55: Steps for implementation of a strategic burning program.
Figure 56: Average number of days per year when weather observations fell within the full range of prescribed burning weather guidelines
described in (Marsden-Smedley, 2009). Days during peak fire danger, between December 15 and February 15, have been excluded88
Figure 57: Conceptual diagram of how the resourcing requirements and cost of burning can change based on the complexity of the burn
and their proximity to communities. Estimated cost per hectare for each of the fuel reduction burning scenarios was based on fue
reduction burning data and expert opinion on potential resource requirements
Figure 58: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Apslawn Bureau o
Meteorology weather station (no. 92001)
Figure 59: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Apslawn (no. 92001) weather station (shown in red), adapted from the
BRAM HFI Layer (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 60: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Bicheno council depo
Bureau of Meteorology weather station (no. 92003)
Figure 61: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Bicheno council depot (no. 92003) weather station (shown in red
(Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 62: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Burnie (Round Hill) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (no. 91009).
Figure 63: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Burnie (no. 91009) weather station (shown in red) (Department of Primary
Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 64: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Bushy Park Bureau o
Meteorology weather station (no. 95003)
Figure 65: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Bushy Park no. 95003 Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown in
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014).
Figure 66: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Campania Bureau o
Meteorology weather station (no. 94009)
Figure 67: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Campania no. 95003 Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown in red
(Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014).
Figure 68: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Cape Bruny Lighthouse
Bureau of Meteorology weather station (no. 94010)
Figure 69: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Cape Bruny Lighthouse no. 95003 Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014).
Figure 70: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Cape Grim Bureau or
Meteorology weather station (no. 91011).
Figure 71: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Cape Grim (no. 91011) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown in
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 72: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for Cressy Research Station
weather station (no. 91022)
155
Figure 73: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Cressy Research Station (no. 91022) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
Figure 73: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Cressy Research Station (no. 91022) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014).
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)

Figure 79: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Dover (no. 94020) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown in red)
(Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 80: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Eddystone Point weather
station (no. 92045)
Figure 81: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Eddystone Point (no. 92045) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown
in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 82: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Edith Creek weather
station (no. 91074)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)144
Figure 84: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Fingal (Legge Street)
weather station (no. 92012)
Figure 85: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Fingal (Legge Street) (no. 92012) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 86: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Flinders Island Airport
weather station (no. 99005)
Figure 87: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Flinders Island Airport (no. 99005) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 88: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Geeveston (Cemetery Rd)
weather station (no. 94137)
Figure 89: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Geeveston (Cemetery Rd) (no. 94137) Bureau of Meteorology weather
station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 90: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Hastings Chalet weather
station (no. 94027)
Figure 91: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Hastings Chalet (no. 94027) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown
in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 92: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Hobart (Ellerslie Rd)
weather station (no. 94029)
Figure 93: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Hobart (Ellerslie Rd) (no. 94029) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014).
Figure 94: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Jetsonville (Muskfield)
weather station (no. 91045)
Figure 95: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Jetsonville (Muskfield) (no. 91045) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 96: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Lake Leake (Elizabeth
River) weather station (no. 92019)
Figure 97: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Lake Leake (Elizabeth River) (no. 92019) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 98: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Launceston (Kings
Meadows) weather station (no. 91072)
Figure 99: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Launceston (Kings Meadows) (no.91072) Bureau of Meteorology weather
station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 100: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Launceston Airport
Comparison weather station (no. 91104)
Figure 101: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Launceston Airport Comparison (no. 91104) Bureau of Meteorology
weather station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)162
Figure 102: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Liawenee HEC weather
station (no. 96004)
Figure 103: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Liawenee HEC (no. 96004) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown
in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 104: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Low Head (Comparison)
weather station (no. 91057)
Figure 105: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Low Head (Comparison) (no. 91057) Bureau of Meteorology weather
station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)166
Figure 106: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Maatsuyka Island
Lighthouse weather station (no. 94041).
Figure 107: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Maatsuyka Island Lighthouse (no. 94041) Bureau of Meteorology weather
station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 108: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Marrawah weather
station (no. 91223)
Figure 109: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Marrawah (no. 91223) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown in
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)

Figure 114: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Mt Wellington (The
Springs) weather station (no. 94066)
Figure 115: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Mt Wellington (The Springs) (no. 94066) Bureau of Meteorology weather
station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
weather station (no. 92027)177
Figure 117: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Orford (Aubin Court) (no. 92027) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 118: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Ouse (Millbrook) weather
station (no. 95012)
Figure 119: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Ouse (Millbrook) (no. 95012) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 120: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Rosebery (HEC Substation) weather station (no. 97073)
Figure 121: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Rosebery (HEC Substation) (no. 97073) Bureau of Meteorology weather
station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014).
Figure 122. Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Ross (Macquarie River)
weather station (no. 93025)
Figure 123: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Ross (Macquarie River) (no. 93025) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 124: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Sheffield weather station
(no. 91091)
red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)186
Figure 126: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Smithton (Grant Street)
weather station (no. 91092)
Figure 127: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Smithton (Grant Street) (no. 91092) Bureau of Meteorology weather
station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 128: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Snug Primary School
weather station (no. 94062)
Figure 129: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Snug Primary School (no. 94062) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
weather station (no. 92033)
Figure 131: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the St Helens Post Office (no. 92033) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)192
Figure 132: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Strahan Aerodrome
weather station (no. 97072)193
Figure 133: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Strahan Aerodrome (no. 97072) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 134: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Strathgordon Village
weather station (no. 97053)
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014).
Figure 136: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Swansea Post Office
weather station (no. 92038)
Figure 137: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Swansea Post Office (no. 92038) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)198
Figure 138: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Tarraleah Village weather
station (no. 95018)
Figure 139: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Tarraleah Village (no. 95018) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
weather station (no. 94075)
Figure 141: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) Bureau of Meteorology weather
station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014).
Figure 142: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Tunnack Post Office
weather station (no. 94067)
Figure 143: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Tunnack Post Office (no. 94067) Bureau of Meteorology weather station
(shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)204
Figure 144: Comparison of daily meteorological records and synthetic aggregate meteorological variables for the Wynyard Airport weather
station (no. 91107)
Figure 145: Estimated Tasmanian land area represented by the Wynyard Airport (no. 91107) Bureau of Meteorology weather station (shown in red) (Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water & the Environment, 2014)
Figure 146: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public Land Only scenario, burning 5% of treatable fuels on public land each
year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Statewide scale using the BRAM Bushfire Risk output 207
Figure 147: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public Land Only scenario, burning 5% of treatable fuels on public land each
year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Fire Management Area scale using the BRAM Bushfire Risk
output
Figure 148: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public Land Only scenario, burning 2.5% of treatable fuels on public land each
year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Statewide scale using the BRAM Bushfire Risk output 209

Figure 149: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public Land Only scenario, burning 2.5% of treatable fuels on public land e year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Fire Management Area scale using the BRAM Bushfire I output	Risk 210
Figure 150: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public and Private Land scenario, burning 5% of treatable fuels on public private land each year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Statewide scale using the BRAM Bush Risk output	fire
Figure 151: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public and Private Land scenario, burning 5% of treatable fuels on public a private land each year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Fire Management Area scale using the BR Bushfire Risk output.	AM
Figure 152: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public and Private Land scenario, burning 2.5% of treatable fuels on public private land each year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Statewide scale using the BRAM Bush Risk output.	fire
Figure 153: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public and Private Land scenario, burning 2.5% of treatable fuels on public a private land each year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Fire Management Area scale using the BR Bushfire Risk output.	AM
Figure 154: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public and Private Land scenario, burning 1.25% of treatable fuels on public private land each year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Statewide scale using the BRAM Bush Risk output	fire
Figure 155: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Public and Private Land scenario, burning 1.25% of treatable fuels on public private land each year. Selection of burn areas was based on treatment of bushfire risk at the Fire Management Area scale using the BR Bushfire Risk output	AM 216
Figure 156: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Full Fire Management Zone scenario. Selection of burn areas was based treatment of bushfire risk at the Statewide scale using BRAM HFI	217
treatment of bushfire risk at the Fire Management Area scale using the BRAM HFI.	218
Figure 158: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Half Fire Management Zone scenario. Selection of burn areas was based treatment of bushfire risk at the Statewide scale using BRAM HFI.	219
Figure 159: Five year hypothetical burning program for the Half Fire Management Zone scenario. Selection of burn areas was based treatment of bushfire risk at the Fire Management Area scale using BRAM HFI.	
Figure 160. PHOENIX RapidFire: Opening page listing disclaimer and terms of use.	221
Table 1: Planned Burns Completed, And Total Area Expressed As A Percentage Of Treatable Fuels Over The Past Five Years	odel
Table 3: Target Annual Treatment Area for Each Scenario Using the Fire Management Area Selection Method Table 4: Weather Parameters used to define the Planned Burning Weather Window	
Table 5. Statistically Significant Reductions in Human Settlement Impacts, Fire Intensity and Fire Size after Year 5 of Treatment in	the
Southern Fire Management Area	orth
East Fire Management Area	
Midlands Fire Management Area.	79
Table 8: Relevant legislation and policies for implementation of a strategic burning program Table 9: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Apslawn (no. 92001) weather station area	
Table 10: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Bicheno (no. 92003) weather station area	
Table 11: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Burnie weather station area.	
Table 12: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Bushy Park weather station area.	
Table 13: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Campania weather station area	
Table 15: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Cape Grim (no. 91011) weather station area	
Table 16: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Cressy Research Station (no. 91022) weather station as	
Table 17: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Currie (no. 98001) weather station area	
Table 18: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Devonport Airport (no.91126) weather station area	
Table 19: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Dover (no.94020) weather station area	
Table 21: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Edith Creek (no.91074) weather station area	
Table 22: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Fingal (Legge Street) (no.92012) weather station area	
Table 23: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Flinders Island Airport (no.99005) weather station area	
Table 24: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Geeveston (Cemetery Rd no. 94137) weather station an	
Table 25: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Hastings Chalet (no. 94137) weather station area	
Table 26: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Hobart (Ellerslie Rd) (no. 94029) weather station area	
Table 27: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Jetsonville (Muskfield) (no. 91045) weather station area.	
Table 28: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Lake Leake (Elizabeth River no. 92019) weather station a	
Table 29: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Launceston (Kings Meadows no. 91072) weather stated (Kings Meadow	tion
area	tion
area.	

Table 32: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Low Head (Comparison) (no. 91057) weather station area
Table 33: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Maatsuyka Island Lighthouse (no. 94041) weather statio area.
Table 34: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Marrawah (no. 91223) weather station area17
Table 35: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Maydena (no. 95011) weather station area
Table 36: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Melton Mowbray (no. 94140) weather station area17
Table 37: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Mt Wellington (The Springs) (no. 94066) weather statio
area
Table 38: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Orford (Aubin Court) (no. 92027) weather station area 17
Table 39: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Ouse (Millbrook) (no. 95012) weather station area18
Table 40: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Rosebery (HEC Substation) (no. 97073) weather station are:
Table 41: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Ross (Macquarie River) (no. 93025) weather station area. 18
Table 42: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Sheffield (no. 91091) weather station area
Table 43: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Smithton (Grant Street) (no. 91092) weather station area.18
Table 44: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Snug Primary School (no. 94062) weather station area 19
Table 45: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the St Helens Post Office (no. 92033) weather station area 19
Table 46: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Strahan Aerodrome (no. 97072) weather station area 19
Table 47: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Strathgordon Village (no. 97053) weather station area19
Table 48: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Swansea Post Office (no. 92038) weather station area19
Table 49: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Tarraleah Village (no. 95018) weather station area20
Table 50: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station area and a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weather station are a supplied to the Tasman Island Lighthouse (no. 94075) weathe
Table 51: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Tunnack Post Office (no. 94067) weather station area20
Table 52: PHOENIX Rapidfire weather inputs for ignition points located in the Wynyard Airport (no. 91107) weather station area20
Equation 1: Calculation of Analysis Block Risk Scores (R <sub>score</sub> for the Public and Private Land and Public Land Only Scenarios
Equation 2: Definition of relative rick